



**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

***Redistricting Supervisorial Districts – 2001***

**REPORT ON PROPOSED REDISTRICTING PLAN**

PLAN ID:

**B1**

PLAN'S TITLE:

**AAACR - 2001**

AUTHOR(S):

**Adrian Dove with Robert Farrell, Paul Robinson,  
Geraldine Washington, Larry Aubrey & Associates**

ORGANIZATION:

**African American Advisory Committee on Redistricting**

SUBMITTED:

**05-21-2001**

PROPOSER INFORMATION:

**PROPOSER INFORMATION:**

NAMES	ADDRESS	CITY	ORGANIZATION	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL
Adrian Dove, Director of Research with Robert Farrell, Paul Robinson, Geraldine Washington, Larry Aubrey & Associates	6230 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 500-A	Los Angeles, CA 90048-5104	African American Advisory Committee on Redistricting	323-934-3683	323-931-5661	adriandove@hotmail.com

**PROPOSAL ELEMENTS:**

The statements on the following pages were provided by this plan's proposer(s) as responses to the following sections of the County's *Proposed Redistricting Plan Proposal Form*.

**SECTION**

**C: The main objective(s) of this proposed plan...**

**D: Plan provides fair and effective representation by...**

**E: Plan enhances opportunity for all voters by...**

**F: Plan meets all requirements of law by...**

**G: Optional additional comments are...**

For Illustration Purpose Only



**ATTACHMENT TO PROPOSED REDISTRICTING PLAN SUBMITTAL FORM**  
(Items "C" through "G")

Submitted by Adrian Dove,  
Director of Research

African American Advisory Committee on Redistricting (AAACR)

May 21, 2001

Los Angeles County

**C\* QUESTION # 1: (Principal Objectives and Coverage)**

*The main objective of this proposed plan is to redraw boundaries to enhance voting impact by the full range of the diverse electorate of Los Angeles County. Our primary focus is upon preventing dilution or dissolution of voting impact of the "Cohorts of the African American Community of Interest" (CAACI). This proposal is diligently dedicated to compliance with Section 2 and Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act.*

This plan seeks to equalize the distribution of population between all five Supervisor Districts of the County and has achieved this with a variance of less than 1% average. This plan also preserves the integrity of city boundaries for the 88 cities of this county in almost all cases except the City of Los Angeles.

Plan meets all requirements of law by attempting to adhere to requirements of the Voting Rights Act and the Civil Rights Act. The one person, one vote standard has been supported in the deviation from the mean in all five districts down to less than one percent in each.

**D\* QUESTION # 2 (Basic Trends, Basic Tenants and Rationale for Changes)**

*Plan provides for enhancing the county's capacity to continue to elect fair and effective representation. In constructing this plan it was readily observed that one component group of the minority community (the Hispanic Community) today is nearing Majority Group Status, having already reached the status of plurality (at a quite respectable 44.6%) of the county's total population. The inclusive Hispanic population of L.A. County (Doj\_h) now exceeds the totals of the so-*

called "Majority" non-Hispanic White population (Doj\_nw 32.9%). We have been called upon in this regard by these communities also to assist in guarding against packing all or most of their numbers into one or two Districts rather than spreading the influence of a nearly 50% group to influence throughout the entire county. We also received notes of concern to pursue the overall equitable distribution of the Non-Hispanic White (Doj\_nw) minority (32.9% of total population) to equally assure that their voting strength as a new minority would not be diluted either.

Los Angeles County has become one of the most cosmopolitan aggregations of diverse populations in the entire world. Large numbers of immigrants are being integrated into the fabric of this county from all parts of the globe except from Africa where the number of immigrants into the US has been severely restricted by quotas for the past century. In any cast the design of the Boundary Commission's Redistricting proposal to the Board of Supervisors and the quality of leadership continuing and emerging in the coming years will set a standard for the world.

**GENERAL AND SPECIFIC RATIONALE FOR BOUNDARY CHANGES PROPOSED:**

***DISTRICTS 4, 2 & 1.***

As has always been the tradition in Los Angeles Redistricting, we began the total process from the bottom up, starting with District 4 which is rigidly constrained on one side by the Ocean and on the other for the most part by a long stable boundary with District 2. The opportunities for adjustments to District 4 are confined therefore to both ends of the District.

Since the last redistricting, the considerable growth of African American population in Long Beach has been overshadowed by a much higher growth rate in the Hispanic population in most of the very same tracts and RDU's. The growing concentrations of both African American and Hispanic populations in Long Beach City are proposed to be retained in the 4<sup>th</sup> District, and thereby provide more rich diversity for that District. This also enables the city of Long Beach to be left in tact.

***DISTRICTS 4 & 1:***

This plan proposes a change to recollect all of Whittier and its unincorporated nearby communities of interest into a one single district moving parts of the area from District 1 to District 4.



#### **DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3 & 5:**

Most notably the First District has historically had the greatest concentration of Hispanic population while by contrast, the 5<sup>th</sup> District has historically had the lowest numbers of Hispanic population. We have attempted to address these imbalances in part by reassigning the entire city of Pomona from District 1 to District 5. To offset these gains to District 5 we proposed moving the divided balance of largely non-Hispanic White, West San Fernando Valley from District 5 into District 3. This move also serves to correct another problem by bringing most of the San Fernando Valley back together in one single district (3<sup>rd</sup>), while not interfering with the communities of interest in the North and East San Gabriel Valley and Mountain District Communities of Interest. Pomona is thereby joined with the similar communities of Monrovia, Duarte, La Verne, San Dimas, Covina and West Covina. This reduction of Hispanic and low income populations from District 1 to District 5, make room for the transfer of Lynwood (all except the Watts Industrial Park which is across the Alameda Corridor from actual Lynwood) and the Hispanic Impacted Florence Graham areas which also contain much smaller portions of African American population which would thereby bring more diversity to the 1<sup>st</sup> District.

There was a concern expressed by some Hispanic community leaders regarding a need to guard against the **packing** of almost all the Hispanic population exclusively into District 1 and District 2.

#### **DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3 & 4:**

The 2<sup>nd</sup> District is now bordering on Hispanic packing or impaction in some of the scenarios tested. This impaction in District 2 had risen nearly to the level of District 1. In this plan, with Hispanic population reduced from 49.7% to 45.4%, in the Second District, and with African American population accordingly reduced from 30.4% to 28.5%, the Registered voting rates between the Inclusive (Inc\_h) African American Community of Interest and the Hispanic Community of Interest (Doj\_h) would yield potential for collaborations and coalition between both groups providing positive leadership emerges. An additional infusion of more White Non Hispanic or Asian Pacific Islanders from **Bunker Hill, the Downtown Financial District and Chinatown** in the 2<sup>nd</sup> District would help reduce the packing of Hispanic population into the 2<sup>nd</sup> District. As noted the boundary between District #4 and District #2 remains virtually unchanged in that most of the populations (Black and White) along these boundaries have remained stable over the past 10 years and are expected to continue as same in the near future.



To bring District 2 population back up to minimum deviation standards, this plan proposes the transfer from District 3 (& #4) of the adjacent communities of interest Santa Monica-Venice and the remainder of Marina Del Rey along with the Sawtell area. These changes increase multiracial diversity in the 2<sup>nd</sup> District and are reflected in the attached map and RDU Tables of Reassignment. The proposers of this plan acknowledge that there may be some difficulty in accomplishing the transfer of Santa Monica from District 3 to District 1 and thus we would offer the substitution instead of a transfer from District 1 and District 3 of the Downtown Financial District, Chinatown, Bunker Hill and parts of Hollywood-Silverlake. That alternative amendment is offered below.

**AN ALTERNATIVE AMENDMENT TO THIS PROPOSAL:** would be to add the stable communities of Chinatown, Bunker Hill, Financial District and Little Tokyo to the 2<sup>nd</sup> District from the 1<sup>st</sup> District if there should arise too many problems in transferring the Santa Monica - Venice territory. There is a strong, rich and effective history of a unified representation of Chinatown, Little Tokyo and the downtown Financial District in close collaboration with South Central L.A., for many years in the L.A. City, 9<sup>th</sup> Council District of the late Gilbert Lindsay. There is a long established history of these communities working together effectively.

#### **E\* QUESTION # 3 (Central Themes)**

Plan enhances opportunity for all voters by bringing increased diversity to all five Districts so that all Supervisors could have in their Districts, a healthy array of rich and poor cities or neighborhoods, populations that are Black and White, Asian Pacific Islander and Hispanic as well as Native American. Asian Pacific Islander communities of interest are addressed in this plan by proposing to unify Alhambra and San Gabriel with Monterey Park, Montebello and Temple City into one single District. Previously these cities, though part of a community of interest had been divided between two districts. Similarly the alternate to this plan proposes to bring together in one district the Japanese American Cultural and economic communities of Little Tokyo and Gardena, along with Koreatown, Chinatown, and the Bunker Hill Downtown Residential Business / Financial District, in a traditional collaboration with South Central Los Angeles.

#### **F\* QUESTION # 4 (Plan's Meeting of Requirements and Definition of Terms)**



DEFINITIONS: At this point it is essential to provide definition of a principal term repeatedly used in this text. The specific term is “Cohorts of the African American Community of Interest” (CAACI).

A CAACI, or a Cohort of the African American Community of Interest, is a member of a Cohort Group rather than a race. The African American Cohort or Community of Interest is defined herein as including:

*“Any person whose ancestry is comprised one-eighth or more from ancestors who were migrated to this country involuntarily, and who worked without compensation (as a Slave) and without right to quit or escape as enforced and mandated by the US Government, any of its subordinate states and/or its predecessor colonial government (English, French or Spanish). It is further required that such ancestors must also have been described by the US Constitution (Article One Section 2) as 3/5ths of a person. All such descendants of such ancestors shall be considered as a Cohort of the African American Community of Interest regardless of their race, creed, color or national ancestry.”*

*For purposes of collecting data, and identifying the inclusive category of these Cohorts of the African American experience, from the 2000 Census Data, the target category used is Incl. 4.*

Historically, the Cohort of the African American Community of Interest had been more concentrated than any other by restrictive covenant laws and long standing Real Estate practices. The evolution of these communities are also devoid of natural backfill due to the seldom discussed US Immigration restriction and immigration quotas, against almost all African nations. In the case of some countries such as Uganda, the American, annual immigration quota is actually “0”. Again seldom focused on is the fact that the last significant numbers of immigration from Africa ended more than 150 years ago, shortly before the end of the American Slave Trade and this factor impacts upon this Cohort or Community of Interest.

#### **G\* QUESTION # 5 (Optional Additional Comments)**

This proposal by the African American Community of Interest was carefully constructed upon an extensive process which began with our convening at NAACP, a broad coalition of African American Community Leadership groups and individuals. This coalition included, leaders from Civil Rights organizations, Business, Religious, Labor, Professional and Academic leaders and organizations throughout California. We began with a series of Community Briefing and Feedback sessions patterned after our successful accomplishment of this same process 10 years ago. After organizing the



African American Community internally we then reached out and launched a series of multicultural collaborations with leaders and other representatives of the Asian Pacific Islander American, Hispanic, and the White-Non Hispanic communities. We also met with and coordinated our approach with representatives of many cities and of the SCAG Sub-Regions.

We also maintained representatives in attendance at the County's Boundary Review Commission meetings and at most of its Community Meetings sponsored throughout the county. We also made extensive use of the computer and internet facilities made available by the County's Boundary Commission and supportive offices.

The plan attached is a product from the African American Community of Interest in a collaborative process that arises out of a comprehensively broad and balanced approach to incorporating the most pressing issues of concern to a wide range of cohorts.

*Optional additional comments are that we believe the Los Angeles County Government in its present form has yielded exceptional leadership across the board, which has not been balkanized into turf protecting separate fiefdoms. We believe that the structure and systems have been well designed. We have all observed that much more often than not, the County government of Los Angeles, has moved as a unit despite its large population and a budget greater than many independent nations. We thank you for this opportunity to provide our input and we are prepared to provide further documentation or testimony if requested.*

# # # #

# Communities \* & Total Population Reassigned (Moved) by This Plan

This redistricting plan reassigns the County's population per 2000 Census as shown below.

\* See NOTES for explanation of communities and how to read the table shown below.

Ref#	DISTRICT			TOTAL POPULATION MOVED	R M E O S V U D E L T	MOVED COMMUNITY (Statistical Area)	Ref#	DISTRICT			TOTAL POPULATION MOVED	R M E O S V U D E L T	MOVED COMMUNITY (Statistical Area)
	BENCH- MARK	PRO- POSED	POPULATION MOVED					BENCH- MARK	PRO- POSED	POPULATION MOVED			
1	5	1	85,804	A W	P S	ALHAMBRA INDUSTRY	22	2	1	9,813	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-GRAHAM
2	1	4	553	P S	P S	IRVINDALE	23	2	1	0	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-LYNWOOD ISLDS
3	1	5	0	P S	P S	LACITY-BRENTWD-SAWTL	24	4	2	8,176	P W	P W	LACOUNTY-MARINA DEL REY
4	3	2	9,477	P S	P S	LACITY-CANOCA PARK	25	1	1	624	P W	P W	LACOUNTY-N CLAREMONT
5	5	3	18,232	P S	P S	LACITY-CENTRL AV/S PK	26	1	4	5,770	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-ROWLAND HGTS
6	2	1	13,351	P S	P S	LACITY-CHATS WORTH	27	5	5	2	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-ROWLAND HGTS
7	5	3	52,645	P S	P S	LACITY-EAGLE RK-GLASL	28	1	5	18,773	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-SAN JOSE HLS
8	5	1	14,344	P S	P S	LACITY-GRANADA HILLS	29	3	3	637	A W	P W	LACOUNTY-SAWTELLE VA CTR
9	5	3	21,604	P S	P S	LACITY-HARBOR CITY	30	5	3	1,647	P W	P W	LACOUNTY-W CHATSWORTH
10	4	2	3,854	P S	P S	LACITY-HOLLYWOOD	31	1	4	8,883	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-W PUENTE VLY
11	2	3	18,412	P S	P S	LACITY-LOS FELIZ	32	4	1	1,081	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-W WHIT-L NIETO
12	3	5	0	P S	P S	LACITY-PACOLIMA	33	1	4	0	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-WHITTR NARROWS
13	3	5	0	P S	P S	LACITY-VENICE	34	4	3	0	P S	P S	LONG BEACH
14	3	2	37,758	A W	P S	LACITY-WESTLAKE	35	2	1	56,848	P S	P S	LYNWOOD
15	2	1	3,609	P S	P S	LACITY-WESTWOOD-W LA	36	2	3	0	P S	P S	LYNWOOD
16	3	2	45	P S	P S	LACITY-WHODS SALE	37	4	1	0	P S	P S	PICO RIVERA
17	1	2	3,477	P S	P S	LACITY-WHODS SALE	38	1	5	149,473	P S	P S	POMONA
18	2	1	4,297	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-AVODO HTS-BASST	39	5	1	39,736	P S	P S	SAN GABRIEL
19	1	4	15,180	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-E SAN GABRIEL	40	3	2	84,084	A W	P W	SANTA MONICA
20	5	1	7,865	P S	P S	LACOUNTY-FLORENCE	41	1	5	0	P W	P W	SOUTH PASADENA
21	2	1	18,691	P W	P W	LACOUNTY-FLORENCE							

714,745 Total Population Reassigned

## NOTES:

1. The data source for this table is the Census Bureau's 2000 Census, Public Law 94-171 Redistricting Data.
2. The communities listed above are the Statistical Areas, defined by the County of Los Angeles' Department of Regional Planning, that would be reassigned (moved) to a different district by this plan.
3. The column labeled "MOVED" indicates whether all "A" or only a portion "P" of the named community would be "MOVED" by this plan.
4. The column labeled "RESULT" indicates whether the move would "RESULT" in having the named community be split "S" among or between multiple districts.
5. Or, the column labeled "RESULT" indicates whether the move would "RESULT" in having the named community remain or become whole "W" within a single district.
6. The TOTAL POPULATION MOVED column depicts the number of persons who live in the reassigned area.



The map displays this plan's proposed Supervisorial District boundaries for the County of Los Angeles. Also shown are the cities and unincorporated areas.

# Cities & Communities

This map displays Los Angeles County's cities and unincorporated areas.


Areas within the City of Los Angeles, and unincorporated areas, are identified by their Statistical Area Names, as assigned by the County's Department of Regional Planning.

Cities appear in various colors; unincorporated areas are displayed in yellow.

See accompanying Communities & Proposed District Assignments Lists for community names and their proposed and current (benchmark) district assignment(s).

## LEGEND

-  Proposed District Boundary
-  Benchmark District Boundary
-  \* City of Los Angeles  
Single Color: White - City of Los Angeles
-  \*\* Other Incorporated Cities  
\*\* Multiple Colors - Other Incorporated Cities
-  Unincorporated Areas






The enlarged map displays this plan's proposed Supervisorial District boundaries for the County of Los Angeles. Also shown are the areas that would be reassigned by this plan.

# Proposed Boundaries

County of Los Angeles



## LEGEND

- Benchmark District Boundaries**  
Red district numbers and red boundaries.  
Black boundaries cover red boundaries when same.
- Proposed District Boundaries**  
Larger black italic numbers and black boundaries.

## PROPOSED DISTRICT AREAS

- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5


Channel Islands (not shown) are in District 4 in benchmark and proposed redistricting plans.

THIS MAP AND RELATED INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY. Although the County of Los Angeles has standards, and has conducted data validation and reasonableness tests, however, it is a representation of data obtained from mu




The enlarged map displays this plan's proposed Supervisorial District boundaries for the County of Los Angeles.  
Also shown are the areas that would be reassigned by this plan.

# Reassigned Areas



Area shown by enlarged map.

## PROPOSED DISTRICT AREAS



## LEGEND



**Plan's Proposed Boundaries**  
Larger black italic numbers and thick black boundaries.

**Benchmark (Current) Boundaries**  
Red district numbers and thick red boundaries.  
Black boundaries cover red boundaries when same.



**Reassigned Areas \***  
Gray Redistricting Unit boundaries  
Thin black Community boundaries within reassigned areas



Channel Islands (not shown) are in District 4 in benchmark and proposed redistricting plans.





# **Total Population Reassigned (Moved) by This Plan**

This redistricting plan reassigns the County's population per 2000 Census as shown below.

Population Moved "To" District ...	Population Moved "From" District ...					Total Population Moved
	1	2	3	4	5	
	1	2	3	4	5	
	1	2	3	4	5	
	2	3	4	5	6	
	3	4	5	6	7	
1	NA	106,609	-	1,081	147,749	255,439
2	3,477	NA	132,001	12,030	-	147,508
3	-	18,412	NA	-	94,128	112,540
4	30,386	-	-	NA	-	30,386
5	168,872	-	-	-	NA	168,872
<b>Total Population Moved</b>	<b>202,735</b>	<b>125,021</b>	<b>132,001</b>	<b>13,111</b>	<b>241,877</b>	<b>714,745</b>

## **NOTES:**

- 1 The data source for this table is the Census Bureau's 2000 Census: Public Law 94-171 Redistricting Data.
- 2 Each row depicts the number of persons who live in areas that would be assigned to the row's district.
- 3 Each column depicts the number of persons who live in areas that would be assigned from the column's district.
- 4 The shaded cells labeled NA are not applicable; same from and to districts.
- 5 The bottom row depicts the total population moved from each district, and the countywide total moved.
- 6 The right-most column depicts the total population moved to each district, and countywide total moved.



Category	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050	2055	2060	2065	2070	2075	2080	2085	2090	2095	2100
Some Other Race/White	6	13	23	21	3	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	101	117	134	151	168	185	202	219	236	253	270	287	304	321
Some Other Race/White	6	51	54	22	8	8	56	68	84	1													

Reassignment List Page 1 of 6

DISTRICT REASSIGNMENTS (District-to-District)										24to...
CITY / COMMUNITY / AREA REASSIGNED	LACIT	LACIT- CENTRAL AVE PK	LACIT- WESTLAKE	LACIT- WHOLESALE	LACIT- FLORENCE	LACIT- GRIFFIN	LACIT- LINDWOOD	LACIT- HOLLWOOD	TOTALS	DISTRICT TOTALS
POPULATION (DOJ Categories)										
Total Population	TOTALS:	24to-1	24to-1	24to-1	24to-1	24to-1	24to-1	24to-3		
Hispanic (All Races)		13,351	3,609	4,297	18,691	9,813	56,846	16,412	125,021	
Not Hispanic (NH) White (Single Race)		11,056	3,040	3,899	16,345	7,594	46,891	13,341	102,156	
NH African American or NH African American/White		148	151	70	192	82	1,702	1,159	3,504	
NH Asian or NH Asian/White		1,750	176	294	2,073	2,127	7,281	386	14,087	
NH American Indian or NH American Indian/White		301	188	9	8	-	482	3,191	4,769	
NH Pacific Islander or NH Pacific Islander/White		14	8	13	25	7	110	72	249	
NH Some Other Race or NH Some Other Race/White		2	2	-	-	-	25	5	197	
Other Multiple Race (More than 1 minority race listed)		34	27	3	17	7	46	132	272	
		46	17	3	28	5	151	126	377	
Voting Age Population										
Hispanic (All Races)	TOTALS:	7,814	2,543	2,654	10,995	5,858	35,024	12,887	77,576	
Not Hispanic (NH) White (Single Race)		6,337	2,079	2,392	9,299	4,354	27,879	8,650	60,980	
NH African American or NH African American/White		90	128	52	149	61	1,421	946	2,815	
NH Asian or NH Asian/White		1,172	127	247	1,623	1,430	5,026	308	9,809	
NH American Indian or NH American Indian/White		62	163	7	8	-	372	2,548	3,280	
NH Pacific Islander or NH Pacific Islander/White		7	6	10	13	6	80	52	174	
NH Some Other Race or NH Some Other Race/White		1	2	-	3	-	118	3	127	
Other Multiple Race (More than 1 minority race listed)		10	25	6	15	5	37	92	190	
		28	15	3	15	3	89	88	241	
REGISTERED VOTERS										
Race / Ethnicity (Based on Summary)	TOTALS:	2,762	783	750	4,085	2,546	15,158	4,062	30,146	
Latino or Spanish		1,153	282	436	2,037	1,029	8,521	1,877	15,435	
Korean		3	62	1	2	-	6	116	180	
Japanese		2	8	-	2	-	18	54	84	
Chinese		10	16	1	10	1	21	48	107	
Indian		3	3	3	2	1	51	12	75	
Vietnamese		1	5	-	1	-	5	26	38	
Filipino		22	38	6	33	10	154	266	519	
Not Classified		1,588	369	303	1,998	1,505	6,382	1,573	13,698	
Political Party Affiliation										
Democrat	TOTALS:	2,762	784	751	4,085	2,546	15,158	4,062	30,148	
		2,191	511	571	3,136	1,947	11,036	2,409	21,863	

Reassignment List Page 2 of 6



**Cities/Communities/Areas Reassigned by This Plan**  
 This redistricting plan reassigns the County's population per 2000 Census and registered voters, as of March 2001, as shown in this list.

DISTRICT REASSIGNMENTS (District-to-District)	CITY / COMMUNITY / AREA REASSIGNED					DISTRICT
	LACITY-BRENTWOOD-SAWT.	LACITY-VERICE	LACOUNTY-SANTELLE VIA CTR	SANTA MONICA	TOTALS	
	3-to-2	3-to-2	3-to-2	3-to-2	3-to-...	
<b>POPULATION (DOJ Categories)</b>						
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>9,477</b>	<b>37,758</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>84,084</b>	<b>132,001</b>
Hispanic (All Races)	2,452	8,290	1	76	11,304	22,123
Not Hispanic (NH) White (Single Race)	3,794	24,186	33	248	60,482	88,743
NH African American or NH African American/White	280	2,409	11	287	3,349	6,336
NH Asian or NH Asian/White	2,613	1,828	-	8	6,831	11,260
NH American Indian or NH American Indian/White	32	311	-	3	464	909
NH Pacific Islander or NH Pacific Islander/White	18	67	-	-	124	209
NH Some Other Race or NH Some Other Race/White	203	384	-	-	1,050	1,637
Other Multiple-Race (More than 1 minority race listed)	85	289	-	15	481	864
<b>TOTALS:</b>	<b>8,339</b>	<b>32,620</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>71,770</b>	<b>113,319</b>
<b>Voting Age Population</b>						
Hispanic (All Races)	1,874	5,975	1	60	9,445	16,358
Not Hispanic (NH) White (Single Race)	3,487	22,205	28	239	53,140	79,104
NH African American or NH African American/White	262	1,937	8	240	2,666	5,113
NH Asian or NH Asian/White	2,407	1,628	-	8	5,786	9,829
NH American Indian or NH American Indian/White	30	278	-	3	403	712
NH Pacific Islander or NH Pacific Islander/White	15	59	-	-	103	177
NH Some Other Race or NH Some Other Race/White	176	329	-	-	850	1,365
Other Multiple-Race (More than 1 minority race listed)	15	211	-	8	377	671
<b>TOTALS:</b>	<b>8,339</b>	<b>32,620</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>71,770</b>	<b>113,319</b>
<b>REGISTERED VOTERS</b>						
<b>Race / Ethnicity (Based on Surname)</b>	<b>4,774</b>	<b>24,487</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>60,215</b>	<b>89,795</b>
Latino or Spanish	644	2,428	1	16	4,765	7,874
Korean	89	88	-	1	354	532
Japanese	444	153	-	-	668	1,165
Chinese	200	179	-	1	955	1,335
Indian	31	78	-	-	419	528
Vietnamese	31	42	-	-	108	181
Filipino	57	141	-	1	331	530
Not Classified	3,278	21,378	29	230	52,695	77,610
<b>Political Party Affiliation</b>						
<b>TOTALS:</b>	<b>4,774</b>	<b>24,487</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>60,215</b>	<b>89,795</b>
Democrat	2,559	14,340	20	155	32,713	49,787
Republican	943	3,547	5	38	12,860	17,363
American Independent	80	430	-	8	991	1,509
Libertarian	36	256	-	3	421	716
Green	90	651	1	1	1,062	1,805
Natural Law	16	102	-	-	219	337
Reform	29	170	-	1	529	729
Decline to State / No Party	954	4,836	4	40	10,771	16,407
Some Other	67	353	-	3	649	1,072

NOTE: See explanatory notes at end of this reassignments list.

This redistricting plan reassigns the County's population per 2000 Census and registered voters, as of March 2001, as shown in this list.

**Cities/Communities/Areas Reassigned by This Plan**

DISTRICT REASSIGNMENTS (District-to-District)	CITY / COMMUNITY / AREA REASSIGNED				POPULATION (DOJ Categories)			
	LACIT	LACOUNTY-W/WHITE MEX	LACITY-HARBOR CITY	LACOUNTY-HARINA DEL REY	TOTALS	4-to-1	4-to-2	4-to-2
Total Population	Hispanic (All Races)	1,081	3,854	8,176	13,111			
	Not Hispanic (NH) White (Single Race)	996	1,714	437	996			
	NH African American or NH African American/White	64	616	6,443	64			
	NH Asian or NH Asian/White	3	1,017	404	3			
	NH American Indian or NH American Indian/White	7	396	719	7			
	NH Pacific Islander or NH Pacific Islander/White	6	13	19	6			
	NH Some Other Race or NH Some Other Race/White	-	2	15	-			
	Other Multiple-Race (More than 1 minority race listed)	5	16	90	5			
	TOTALS:	1,081	3,854	8,176	13,111			
Voting Age Population	Hispanic (All Races)	704	2,527	7,654	10,885			
	Not Hispanic (NH) White (Single Race)	644	1,019	369	644			
	NH African American or NH African American/White	47	520	6,111	47			
	NH Asian or NH Asian/White	3	584	357	3			
	NH American Indian or NH American Indian/White	5	332	666	5			
	NH Pacific Islander or NH Pacific Islander/White	1	11	18	1			
	NH Some Other Race or NH Some Other Race/White	1	32	15	1			
	Other Multiple-Race (More than 1 minority race listed)	-	8	84	-			
	TOTALS:	704	2,527	7,654	10,885			
REGISTERED VOTERS Race / Ethnicity (Based on Summary)	Latino or Spanish	423	1,403	5,931	7,757			
	Korean	336	353	237	925			
	Japanese	-	20	24	44			
	Chinese	1	13	38	52			
	Indian	1	15	64	79			
	Vietnamese	1	4	25	30			
	Filipino	-	8	7	15			
	Not Classified	9	17	25	51			
	TOTALS:	423	1,403	5,931	7,757			
Political Party Affiliation	Democrat	288	779	2,720	3,787			
	Republican	88	327	1,708	2,103			
	American Independent	6	33	116	155			
	Libertarian	1	2	41	44			
	Green	2	3	49	54			
	Natural Law	-	9	8	17			
	Reform	2	7	37	46			
	Decline to State / No Party	54	231	1,179	1,464			
	Some Other	2	13	73	88			
	TOTALS:	423	1,403	5,931	7,757			



**Cities/Communities/Areas Reassigned by This Plan**  
This redistricting plan reassigns the County's population per 2000 Census and registered voters, as of March 2001, as shown in this list.

DISTRICT REASSIGNMENTS (District-to-District)													5-to-...
CITY / COMMUNITY / AREA REASSIGNED	LACIT	ALAMBERA	5-to-1	5-to-1	5-to-1	5-to-1	5-to-3	5-to-3	5-to-3	5-to-3	5-to-3	DISTRICT TOTALS	
POPULATION (DOJ Categories)													
Total Population		TOTALS:	85,804	14,344	7,865	39,736	18,232	52,645	21,604	1,647	241,877		
Hispanic (All Races)			30,453	4,633	2,782	12,210	1,742	8,637	1,976	170	62,603		
Not Hispanic (NH) White (Single Race)			11,881	5,115	1,547	6,909	12,902	32,130	13,757	1,342	85,583		
NH African American or NH African American/White			1,327	298	129	369	461	1,940	479	40	5,043		
NH Asian or NH Asian/White			40,750	3,881	3,257	19,643	2,728	8,758	4,963	62	84,044		
NH American Indian or NH American Indian/White			255	96	20	163	104	295	62	16	1,011		
NH Pacific Islander or NH Pacific Islander/White			81	21	15	29	19	70	15	2	258		
NH Some Other Race or NH Some Other Race/White			389	172	65	149	185	483	250	9	1,702		
Other Multiple-Race (More than 1 minority race listed)			688	128	50	264	91	326	100	6	1,633		
Voting Age Population		TOTALS:	66,631	11,119	5,553	30,397	13,565	40,017	16,649	1,304	185,565		
Hispanic (All Races)			21,178	3,169	1,912	8,455	1,187	5,701	1,365	112	43,089		
Not Hispanic (NH) White (Single Race)			10,604	4,415	1,262	5,870	9,828	25,785	10,893	1,100	69,767		
NH African American or NH African American/White			1,050	232	80	248	316	1,285	334	25	3,572		
NH Asian or NH Asian/White			32,795	3,992	2,485	16,395	1,991	6,401	3,698	41	65,788		
NH American Indian or NH American Indian/White			207	75	14	131	79	232	53	14	800		
NH Pacific Islander or NH Pacific Islander/White			18	18	12	23	10	56	13	1	197		
NH Some Other Race or NH Some Other Race/White			24	130	44	99	120	347	207	9	1,224		
Other Multiple-Race (More than 1 minority race listed)			1467	88	37	186	62	210	66	2	1,118		
REGISTERED VOTERS		TOTALS:	35,939	6,118	3,232	15,407	11,252	29,761	13,785	1,019	117,910		
Race / Ethnicity (Based on Summary)			11,905	2,346	903	4,531	786	2,572	905	64	24,012		
Latino or Spanish			410	69	39	193	120	601	624	2	2,058		
Korean			484	72	70	244	67	244	162	3	1,346		
Japanese			6,498	220	462	2,650	324	639	414	4	11,211		
Chinese			149	20	23	50	87	430	218	2	979		
Indian			2,280	55	167	1,110	67	226	42	-	3,947		
Vietnamese			395	443	34	207	109	349	176	5	1,718		
Filipino			13,215	4,893	1,534	6,422	8,692	24,700	11,244	939	72,639		
Not Classified													
Political Party Affiliation		TOTALS:	35,336	8,118	3,232	15,407	11,252	29,761	13,786	1,019	117,911		
Democrat			17,638	4,016	1,386	6,965	4,899	12,387	5,623	421	53,325		
Republican			9,170	2,632	1,117	4,775	4,421	11,956	5,911	358	40,340		
American Independent			563	128	80	218	197	503	166	26	1,981		
Libertarian			105	54	12	63	48	165	60	7	514		
Green			193	65	10	58	49	137	52	11	575		
Natural Law			111	25	2	29	16	61	14	3	281		
Reform			111	20	14	62	88	158	57	20	530		
Decline to State / No Party			7,116	1,100	607	3,106	1,488	4,160	1,813	161	19,531		
Some Other			329	78	24	131	76	234	90	12	974		

NOTE: See explanatory notes at end of this assignment list.

Reassignment List Page 5 of 6

